arXiv:1410.4164v2 [math.AG] 25 Jun 2015

Multigraded Hilbert function and toric complete intersection codes

Mesut Şahin [a]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University, Ankara, TURKEY*

Ivan Soprunov [b]

[b] *Department of Mathematics, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH USA*

Abstract

Let X be a complete n-dimensional simplicial toric variety with homogeneous coordinate ring S. We study the multigraded Hilbert function H_Y of reduced 0-dimensional subschemes Y in X. We provide explicit formulas and prove non-decreasing and stabilization properties of H_Y when Y is a 0-dimensional complete intersection in X. We apply our results to computing the dimension of some evaluation codes on 0-dimensional complete intersection in simplicial toric varieties.

Key words: evaluation codes, toric varieties, multigraded Hilbert function

1 Introduction

Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$, and $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S_\alpha$ be its homogeneous coordinate ring, multigraded by $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathrm{Cl}(X)$, and let $B \subset S$ be its irrelevant ideal. Given a reduced closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ there is a unique radical B-saturated ideal $I(Y) \subset S$ which defines Y (see [Cox(1995)]). In this paper we study the Hilbert function $H_Y(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(S/I(Y))$ where Y is a reduced 0-dimensional subscheme of X. Although the general situation was previously considered in fundamental work by

⋆ The first author is supported by TÜBİTAK-2219, the second author is partially supported by NSA Grant H98230-13-1-0279

Email addresses: mesut.sahin@hacettepe.edu.tr (Mesut Şahin), i.soprunov@csuohio.edu (Ivan Soprunov).

Maclagan and Smith [Maclagan and Smith (2004),Maclagan and Smith (2005)], our goal is to better understand the multigraded regularity of Y (the set of degrees α where $H_Y(\alpha) = |Y|$) and to provide an explicit combinatorial formula for H_Y in terms of the polytopes P_{α_i} of the generators of $I(Y)$.

In particular, when Y is a 0-dimensional complete intersection lying in the dense orbit $\mathbb{T}^n$ of X, our results imply the following (see Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 3.18).

Theorem. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ be a reduced complete intersection subscheme of X such that $I(Y)$ is generated by n homogeneous polynomials with semi-ample degrees $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$H_Y(\alpha) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \sum_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}, |I|=s} |P_{\alpha - \alpha_I} \cap M|,$$

where $\alpha_I = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i$. Moreover,
(i) if $\alpha \not\succeq \alpha_i$ then $H_Y(\alpha) = |P_\alpha \cap M|$,
(ii) $H_Y(\alpha) \leq H_Y(\alpha')$, for all $\alpha \preceq \alpha'$,
(iii) $H_Y(\alpha) = |Y|$ for all $\alpha \succeq \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$.

In the above theorem, we write $\alpha \preceq \alpha'$ if and only if $\alpha' - \alpha$ lies in $\mathbb{N}\beta$, where $\mathbb{N}\beta \subset \mathcal{A}$ denotes the semigroup generated by the degrees of the variables x_i.

As an application, we compute the dimension for a class of evaluation codes on 0-dimensional complete intersections in a toric variety (see Theorem 4.5), answering a question posed in [Soprunov(2013)]. The bound given in (**iii**) above is used to eliminate trivial codes. Our results generalize the work of [Duursma et al.(2001)] who used the classical Hilbert function to compute the dimension of evaluation codes on 0-dimensional complete intersections in the projective space.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect all necessary preliminaries as well as give certain sufficient conditions for a 0-dimensional subscheme of X to be a complete intersection. Section 3 contains the main results on the multigraded Hilbert function of 0-dimensional subschemes of X. It builds on and generalizes the existing literature and improves the known bound on the multigraded regularity.

This allows application of our results on the multigraded Hilbert function to the dimension calculation for toric complete intersection codes, which we discuss in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some standard definitions and results from toric geometry and fix some notation. For all unexplained concepts and for more details we refer the reader to the wonderful books [Fulton(1993)] by Fulton or [Cox et al.(2011)] by Cox, Little and Schenck. Although these books study toric varieties over the complex numbers, the results we will be using carry easily to toric varieties over algebraically closed fields. Throughout the paper $\mathbb{K}$ is an arbitrary algebraically closed field and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{K}^*$ is its multiplicative group. Given a vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ we use $x^{\mathbf{u}}$ to denote the Laurent monomial $x^{\mathbf{u}} = x_1^{u_1} \dots x_r^{u_r}$. We also use $[m]$ to denote the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ for any positive integer $m \geq 1$.

Let M be a lattice of rank n and N be the dual lattice. By $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. $N_{\mathbb{R}}$) we denote the corresponding real n-dimensional vector space $M \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$). Let $\Sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a complete rational polyhedral fan and X be the n-dimensional complete toric variety it determines. We assume that Σ (and, hence, X) is simplicial without loss of generality, where needed we may also assume that X is smooth.

Recall the definition of the homogeneous coordinate ring S of the toric variety X. Denote by $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$ the rays in Σ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r \in N$ the corresponding primitive lattice vectors generating them. Each ρ_i gives rise to a prime torus-invariant Weil divisor D_i. We introduce one variable x_i for each D_i and consider the polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. Also, for each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we denote $x^{\hat{\sigma}} = \Pi_{\rho_i \notin \sigma} x_i$. This gives rise to the irrelevant ideal $B = \langle x^{\hat{\sigma}} \ : \ \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle$ in S.

The collection $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r \in N$ defines a map $\phi : M \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ which sends $\mathbf{m} \in M$ to the vector $(\langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{v}_r \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. The class group $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ of Weil divisors on X modulo linear equivalence is generated by the classes of $D_1, \dots, D_r$ (see [Fulton(1993), Sec.3.4]). Since X is complete $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ spans $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which leads to the following exact sequence:

$$\mathfrak{P} : 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}^r \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathrm{Cl}(X) \longrightarrow 0 \,,$$

where the degree map sends $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ to the class $[\sum_j a_j D_j]$.
Applying $Hom(-, \mathbb{T})$ we get the dual exact sequence

$$\mathfrak{P}^* : 1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Cl}(X), \mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{T}^r \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow 1 \,.$$

It is convenient to write the above sequences in a more explicit way by fixing a coordinate system. For this choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for M and the dual basis $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ for N. This allows us to represent elements of M by column vectors and elements of N by row vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The map ϕ is now given by an integer matrix with rows $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$. We thus obtain

$$\mathfrak{P} : 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}^r \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow 0 \,,$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^r/\phi(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ and $\deg$ is the projection map.

As for the dual sequence, we get an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{T}^n$ and the map π becomes

$$\pi : (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r) \mapsto (\xi^{\mathbf{u}_1}, \ldots, \xi^{\mathbf{u}_n}),$$

where $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are the columns of ϕ. We get

$$\mathfrak{P}^* : 1 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{T}^r \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{T}^n \longrightarrow 1\,.$$

The map i is an injection and we identify $G = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{T})$ with the kernel of π.

Recall the definition of X as a GIT quotient. The map π from $\mathfrak{P}^*$ is in fact the restriction of the geometric quotient map (which we also denote by π)

$$\pi : \mathbb{K}^r \setminus V(B) \to X$$

to the torus $\mathbb{T}^r \subset \mathbb{K}^r$. Here $V(B)$ denotes the affine subvariety defined by the irrelevant ideal B, so $V(B)$ is a union of coordinate subspaces.

The exact sequence $\mathfrak{P}$ endows the ring S with a multigrading by setting degrees of variables as $\beta_j := \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(x_j) := \deg(e_j)$, where e_j is the standart basis element of $\mathbb{Z}^r$ for each $j \in [r]$. Thus, $S = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S_\alpha$, where S_α denotes the set of homogeneous polynomials in S of multidegree α. We note that the degrees of homogeneous polynomials of S actually lie in the semigroup $\mathbb{N}\beta$ generated by $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r$, i.e. $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_\alpha = 0$ when $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}\beta$.

The isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ allows us to speak of degrees $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ lying in $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(X)$. Furthermore, given a Cartier divisor D on X we say that it is *semi-ample* (or basepoint free as in [Cox et al.(2011)]) if the corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is generated by global sections. Since the property of being ample (resp. semi-ample) is preserved under linear equivalence we may speak of ample (resp. semi-ample) degrees α in $\mathcal{A}$. The fan Σ of X determines an important subsemigroup $\mathcal{K}$ of the semigroup $\mathbb{N}\beta$. Namely, $\mathcal{K} = \cap_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathbb{N}\hat{\sigma}$, where $\mathbb{N}\hat{\sigma}$ is the semigroup generated by the subset $\{\beta_j \,:\, \rho_j \notin \sigma\}$. Geometrically, $\mathbb{N}\beta$ corresponds to the subset of $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ containing the classes of effective Weil divisors on X and $\mathcal{K}$ corresponds to the subset of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ containing the classes of nef (numerically effective) line bundles on X. By [Cox et al.(2011), Theorem 6.3.12], $\mathcal{K}$ is the set of semi-ample degrees in $\mathbb{N}\beta \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Now let $D = \sum_{j=1}^r a_j D_j$ be a torus-invariant Weil divisor on X. It defines a rational polytope

$$P_D := \{\mathbf{m} \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \,:\, \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle \geq -a_j,\ \ \forall j \in [r]\}.$$

Note that equivalent divisors in $\alpha \in \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ will have the same polytope up to a lattice translation. We thus write P_α to denote any P_D for $D \in \alpha$. We will also write $P_\alpha \subseteq P_{\alpha'}$ when $P_D \subseteq P_{D'}$ for some $D \in \alpha$ and $D' \in \alpha'$, and simply say that

P_α is contained in $P_{\alpha'}$. According to [Cox(1995), Sec. 1] S_α is isomorphic to the space of global sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(D)$ which is spanned by characters of the torus $\mathbb{T}$:

$$S_\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m}\in P_\alpha\cap M} \mathbb{K}\chi^{\mathbf{m}}. \tag{1}$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_\alpha = |P_\alpha \cap M|. \tag{2}$$

For semi-ample degrees α the situation is especially nice. First, P_α is a lattice polytope. Second, one can reconstruct α from its polytope P_α by setting

$$\alpha = [\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j D_j] \quad \text{where } \ a_j = -\min_{\mathbf{m}\in P_\alpha\cap M} \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{v}_j\rangle.$$

This implies that for α, α' semi-ample we have

$$P_{\alpha+\alpha'} = P_\alpha + P_{\alpha'}, \tag{3}$$

where the sum on the right is the Minkowski sum of polytopes. See [Fulton(1993), Sec.3.4] or [Cox et al.(2011)] for details.

Next we recall the ideal–scheme correspondence for simplicial toric varieties (for details, see [Cox(1995), Sections 2 and 3]). Recall that the saturation of J with respect to the irrelevant ideal B (or simply the B-saturation of J) is the ideal

$$J : B^\infty := \{F \in S \ : \ F \cdot B^k \subseteq J \quad \text{for some integer } \ k \geq 0\}.$$

If $J = J : B^\infty$ we say that J is B-saturated. Furthermore, J is said to be Pic-saturated if $J_\alpha = (J : B^\infty)_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and is called Pic-generated if it is generated by polynomials whose degrees belong to $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. It is clear that a B-saturated homogeneous ideal is Pic-saturated, as $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(X)$ and the two notions coincide when X is smooth in which case $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{Cl}(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety.*

(1) For every closed subscheme Y of X there is a unique Pic-generated and Pic-saturated homogeneous ideal $I(Y)$ of S defining Y.
(2) For every reduced closed subscheme Y of X there is a unique radical B-saturated homogeneous ideal $I(Y)$ of S defining Y.

By [Cox(1995), Section 2] we identify a reduced closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ defined by I with the closed subset

$$V_X(I) := \{\pi(\mathbf{x}) \in X \ : \ f(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \ \forall f \in I\},$$

the image of the closed subset $V(I) \subset \mathbb{K}^r \setminus V(B)$ under the geometric quotient map.

Definition 2.2. We say that a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ is a *complete intersection* if $I(Y)$ is generated by a regular sequence of homogeneous polynomials $F_1, \ldots, F_k \in S$ where k is the codimension of Y in X.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \mathcal{H}_\ell$ be the Hirzebruch surface which will be a running smooth example. We identify M and N with $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and consider the fan in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with rays generated by $\mathbf{v}_1 = (1,0)$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = (0,1)$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = (-1,\ell)$, and $\mathbf{v}_4 = (0,-1)$. Writing the maps in the standard bases, we obtain the exact sequence $\mathfrak{P}$:

$$\mathfrak{P} : 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}^4 \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ell & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \deg = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\ell & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As X is smooth, $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Cl}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}^2$. The ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x,y,z,w]$ is graded by $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(z) = (1,0), \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = (-\ell,1), \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(w) = (0,1)$, and the semigroup of semi-ample degrees $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{N}^2 \subset \mathbb{N}\beta = \mathbb{N}\{(1,0),(-\ell,1),(0,1)\}$ in this case. The group G is parametrized by the columns of the matrix $\deg$, hence,

$$G = \{(t_1, t_1^{-\ell} t_2, t_1, t_2) \mid t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

We denote by $[x : y : z : w]$ the homogeneous coordinates of points on $\mathcal{H}_\ell$, using $[x : y : z : w] := G \cdot (x,y,z,w)$. For instance,

$$[0 : 0 : 1 : 1] := G \cdot (0,0,1,1) = \{(0,0,t_1,t_2) \mid t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

Now consider $J = \langle xz, yw \rangle$. This is a B-saturated radical homogeneous ideal of S, where $B = \langle xy, yz, zw, wx \rangle$. So, we have

$$Y = V_X(J) = \{[0:0:1:1], [0:1:1:0], [1:0:0:1], [1:1:0:0]\}.$$

Note that $V_X(J)$ is a zero-dimensional complete intersection that does not lie in the torus $\mathbb{T}^4/G = \{[1 : t_1^\ell t_2 : t_1^{-1} : 1] \mid t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{T}\} \cong \mathbb{T}^2$, where the last isomorphism comes from the short exact sequence $\mathfrak{P}^*$.

We end this section with a theorem which provides us with certain sufficient conditions for a zero-dimensional subscheme to be a complete intersection.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a simplicial toric variety and $F_i \in S$ be homogeneous polynomials with $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(F_i) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, for $1 \le i \le n$. Suppose that $\langle F_1, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ is Pic-saturated and defines a zero-dimensional subscheme $Y \subset X$. Then*

(1) $I(Y) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_n \rangle$,
(2) the minimal free resolution of $I(Y)$ is given by the Koszul complex of $F_1, \ldots, F_n$,
(3) Y is a complete intersection in X.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Proposition 2.1 since $\langle F_1, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ is Pic-generated and Pic-saturated. Let $\operatorname{Supp} Y = \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}$ be the support of Y and consider the following preimage

$$\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Supp} Y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \pi^{-1}(p_i).$$

Since π is a morphism of algebraic varieties, all the fibers are isomorphic to the algebraic group G. This implies that $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ has dimension $r - n = \dim G$ as a subvariety of $\mathbb{K}^r \setminus V(B)$. Thus, $\operatorname{codim} I(Y) = n$. Since S is Cohen-Macaulay, it follows that $\operatorname{depth} I(Y) = n$, which together with [Eisenbud(1995), Theorem 17.4] implies that the Koszul complex of $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ gives a minimal free resolution of $I(Y)$. This proves part (2). Finally, the Koszul complex of $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ is exact if and only if $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ form a regular sequence in S which completes the proof of part (3). □

3 Multigraded Hilbert function

Let $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of a complete simplicial toric variety X over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$. In this section, we investigate the behavior of the multigraded Hilbert functions of zero-dimensional closed subschemes Y of X. For the rest of the paper, we additionally assume that $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$ has no torsion which is the case, for example, for smooth X by [Cox et al.(2011), Proposition 4.2.5]. Under these circumstances, $S = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S_\alpha$ is *positively* multigraded by $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, every S_α is finite-dimensional. If I is an $\mathcal{A}$-graded ideal in S, it is called homogeneous, and the quotient ring S/I inherits the multigraded structure yielding a decomposition $S/I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} (S/I)_\alpha$, where $(S/I)_\alpha = S_\alpha / I_\alpha$ is a finite dimensional vector space spanned by monomials of degree α which do not belong to I. This gives rise to the multigraded Hilbert function and series defined respectively by

$$H_{S/I}(\alpha) := \dim_{\mathbb{K}} (S/I)_\alpha = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_\alpha - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} I_\alpha$$

$$\text{and} \quad HS_{S/I}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} H_{S/I}(\alpha) \mathbf{t}^\alpha.$$

Since the grading is positive, the semigroup $\mathbb{N}\beta$ is *pointed* by Corollary 8.8 in [Miller and Sturmfels(2005)]. In this case the ordering $\preceq$ is a partial order, where $\alpha \preceq \alpha'$ if $\alpha' - \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$. By Theorem 8.20 in [Miller and Sturmfels(2005)], the Hilbert series is a rational function, that is, we have

$$HS_{S/I}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{p_{S/I}(\mathbf{t})}{(1 - \mathbf{t}^{\beta_1}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{t}^{\beta_r})},$$

for a unique Laurent polynomial $p_{S/I}(\mathbf{t})$ with integer coefficients.

Definition 3.1. Let Y be a closed subscheme of X and $I(Y)$ the corresponding homogeneous ideal in S as in Proposition 2.1. We define the *multigraded Hilbert function* H_Y of Y to be the multigraded Hilbert function of the quotient ring $S/I(Y)$.

As in the classical case the multigraded Hilbert function gives information about the geometry of Y such as the degree of Y, denoted $\deg(Y)$, which is defined to be the length of Y as a subscheme. Recall that the length of Y is the dimension of the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ Therefore, if Y has degree N and is supported at points $p_1, \ldots, p_s$, then $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathcal{O}_{Y,p_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{Y,p_s}$ and $N = d_1 + \cdots + d_s$, where the positive integer $d_i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{O}_{Y,p_i}$ is called the multiplicity of Y at p_i for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. In particular, $\deg(Y) = |Y| = N$, when Y is a reduced union of N distinct points.

3.1 Behavior of the multigraded Hilbert function

We start with the classical case when $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ and so $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N}\beta = \mathbb{N}$ as all $\beta_i = 1$. Assume Y is a reduced zero-dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then the Hilbert function of Y has the following nice properties (see for instance [Duursma et al.(2001)] or [Geramita and Maroscia(1984)]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a reduced zero-dimensional subscheme. Let $I(Y) = \bigoplus_{k=k_0}^{\infty} I(Y)_k$ with $I(Y)_{k_0} \neq 0$. Then there is an integer a_Y called the a-invariant of Y such that the following hold.*
(i) *$H_Y(k) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_k$ if and only if $k < k_0$,*
(ii) *$H_Y(k) < H_Y(k+1) < |Y|$ for $0 \leq k < a_Y$,*
(iii) *$H_Y(k) = |Y|$ for $a_Y < k$.* □

In the rest of the section, we investigate how much these properties of the classical Hilbert function extend to the multigraded setting. Namely, in Theorem 3.7 below we generalize properties (**i**) and (**ii**) (under an extra assumption) to zero-dimensional subschemes of any simplicial toric variety X. Furthermore, Theorem 3.16 provides a generalization of property (**iii**) for complete intersection subschemes of X. In the important case when Y lies in the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ the properties of H_Y are summarized in Corollary 3.18.

To start with, let us mention that properties (**ii**) and (**iii**) need not hold without extra assumptions as the following illustrates.

Example 3.3. Let $X = P(1, 2, 3)$ be the weighted projective surface with homogeneous coordinate ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ where $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = 1$, $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = 2$ and $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(z) = 3$. Consider the ideal $J = \langle x, z \rangle$ which gives rise to the irreducible reduced complete intersection subscheme $Y = \{[0 : 1 : 0]\}$. As J is B-saturated,

$I(Y) = J$. The multigraded Hilbert function is $H_Y(2\alpha) = 1$ and $H_Y(2\alpha+1) = 0$, for all non-negative integers α, since the Hilbert series is as follows

$$HS_{S/J}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1-\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}^3+\mathbf{t}^4}{(1-\mathbf{t})(1-\mathbf{t}^2)(1-\mathbf{t}^3)} = \frac{1}{1-\mathbf{t}^2}.$$

Consider now the ideal $J' = \langle y, z^3\rangle$ which gives rise to the triple point $Y' = \{[1:0:0]\}$. Again $I(Y') = J'$ and the multigraded Hilbert function is given by $H_{Y'}(\alpha) = 1$, for $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$, $H_{Y'}(\alpha) = 2$ for $\alpha = 3, 4, 5$, and $H_{Y'}(\alpha) = 3 = \deg(Y')$, for all integers $\alpha \geq 6$, since the Hilbert series is

$$HS_{S/J'}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1-\mathbf{t}^2-\mathbf{t}^9+\mathbf{t}^{11}}{(1-\mathbf{t})(1-\mathbf{t}^2)(1-\mathbf{t}^3)} = \frac{1+\mathbf{t}^3+\mathbf{t}^6}{1-\mathbf{t}}.$$

The example above indicates that the behavior of the Hilbert series and Hilbert function depends on the smallest possible degree of a non-zerodivisor in the ring $S/I(Y)$. In the first case this number is two and so H_Y reveals a non-decreasing behavior in the even degrees and odd degrees, separately. In the second case this number is one and H_Y is non-decreasing at each degree. The next lemma confirms this observation and its proof is an extension of the proof of Proposition 3.5 in [Van Tuyl(2002)].

Lemma 3.4. *If I is a homogeneous ideal of S such that there is a non-zerodivisor in S/I of degree $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}\beta$, then the following holds.*

$$H_{S/I}(\alpha) \leq H_{S/I}(\alpha+\alpha_0), \quad \textit{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta.$$

Proof. Let $F_0 \in S_{\alpha_0}$ be a non-zerodivisor in S/I. Then the following complex is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow S/I \xrightarrow{\overline{F}_0} (S/I)(\alpha_0) \longrightarrow (S/(I+\langle F_0\rangle))(\alpha_0) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where $(S/I)(\alpha_0)$ is a multigraded ring shifted by α_0, i.e. $((S/I)(\alpha_0))_\alpha = (S/I)_{\alpha+\alpha_0}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Restricting to a degree $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$, we get the exact sequence of the corresponding vector spaces:

$$0 \longrightarrow (S/I)_\alpha \xrightarrow{\overline{F}_0} (S/I)_{\alpha+\alpha_0} \longrightarrow (S/(I+\langle F_0\rangle))_{\alpha+\alpha_0} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The proof follows from the fact that the first map $\overline{F}_0$ is injective. □

Remark 3.5. We note that there exists a non-zerodivisor in S/I of degree $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, if X is smooth and I is B-saturated, see Remark 2.5 in [Maclagan and Smith (2005)]. Sometimes $\mathcal{K}$ contains all of them as we see by taking $X = \mathcal{H}_\ell$ and B-saturated $J = \langle xz, yw\rangle$, as in example 2.3. There is no non-zerodivisor in S/J of degree

$\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta \setminus \mathcal{K}$, for if $F \in S_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta \setminus \mathcal{K}$, then y divides F, and thus $wF \in J$. Recall that in this case $V_X(J)$ does not lie in $\mathbb{T}^n$. On the other hand, if $Y \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ we have more non-zerodivisors, as we record next.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ be a reduced subscheme of X. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$, there exists a non-zerodivisor in $S/I(Y)$ of degree α.*

Proof. Consider $\alpha = a_1\beta_1 + \cdots + a_r\beta_r$, then we prove that the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_r^{a_r} \in S_\alpha$ is a non-zerodivisor in $S/I(Y)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}F \in I(Y)$ for some polynomial $F \in S$. Then $Y \subseteq V(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(x_r) \cup V(F)$. Since $Y \subset \mathbb{T}^n$, it follows that $Y \subseteq V(F)$ which implies that $F \in I(Y)$, as $I(Y)$ is a radical ideal. □

The next result summarizes general properties of the multigraded Hilbert function in the most general setup.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $Y \subset X$ be a reduced zero-dimensional subscheme. The multigraded Hilbert function H_Y has the following properties.*
***(i)** If P_α does not contain any P_{α_i}, for degrees α_i of minimal generators of $I(Y)$, then $H_Y(\alpha) = |P_\alpha \cap M|$,*
***(ii)** If there is a non-zerodivisor in $S/I(Y)$ of degree β_j, for each $j \in [r]$, then $H_Y(\alpha) \leq H_Y(\alpha')$ for all $\alpha \preceq \alpha'$.*
***(iii)** $H_Y(\alpha) \leq \deg(Y)$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$.*

Proof. (**i**) Since $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_\alpha = |P_\alpha \cap M|$ by equation (2), it is enough to show that $I(Y) \cap S_\alpha = \{0\}$ as in this case we have $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} I(Y)_\alpha = 0$ and hence

$$H_Y(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_\alpha - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} I(Y)_\alpha = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_\alpha.$$

Let $I(Y) = \langle F_1, \dots, F_m \rangle$, where $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(F_i) = \alpha_i$, for all $i \in [m]$. If P_α does not contain any P_{α_i}, then $\alpha - \alpha_i \notin \mathbb{N}\beta$, as otherwise there would be some $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{N}\beta$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_i + \gamma_i$ which would imply that $P_\alpha \supseteq P_{\alpha_i} + P_{\gamma_i} \supseteq P_{\alpha_i}$. Therefore $S_{\alpha-\alpha_i} = \{0\}$. Now, take any element $F \in I(Y) \cap S_\alpha$. Since $F = \sum_{i=1}^m G_i F_i$, with $G_i \in S_{\alpha-\alpha_i}$ it follows that $F = 0$, completing the proof.

(**ii**) If $\alpha \preceq \alpha'$ then $\alpha' - \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$, i.e. there are non-negative integers μ_j so that $\alpha' = \alpha + \mu_1\beta_1 + \cdots + \mu_n\beta_n$ and the result follows from Lemma 3.4.

(**iii**) This inequality follows easily from the proof of [Maclagan and Smith (2004), Proposition 6.7]. □

Remark 3.8. The first item in Theorem 3.7 above generalizes the first property of Proposition 3.2. Indeed, note that the polytope P_k corresponding to degree k polynomials is the simplex with vertices $\{0, ke_1, \dots, ke_n\}$. Therefore $k < k_0 \leq k_i$

is equivalent to $P_k \subset P_{k_0} \subseteq P_{k_i}$, where k_0 is the least degree among the degrees k_i of minimal generators of $I(Y)$.

Remark 3.9. If the toric variety is a product of projective spaces $X = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_r}$ and $Y = d_1p_1 + \cdots + d_sp_s$ is the closed subscheme determined by the ideal $J = I(p_1)^{d_1} \cap \cdots \cap I(p_s)^{d_s}$, we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\mathbb{N}\beta = \mathbb{N}^r$, as the first n_1 variables have $\mathcal{A}$-degree $\beta_1 = e_1$, and the second n_2 variables have $\mathcal{A}$-degree $\beta_2 = e_2$ and so on. In this case Sidman and Van Tuyl proved stronger nondecreasing and stabilization properties of the Hilbert function of Y. In particular, they showed that $H_Y(\alpha) \leq H_Y(\alpha + e_j)$ for each $j \in [r]$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Moreover, if $H_Y(\alpha) = H_Y(\alpha + e_j)$ for some $j \in [r]$ and for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$, then $H_Y(\alpha + e_j) = H_Y(\alpha + 2e_j)$, i.e. the Hilbert function stabilizes in that direction (see [Sidman and Van Tuyl (2006), Proposition 1.9]).

By the virtue of [Maclagan and Smith (2004), Proposition 6.7], and to avoid unnecessary technicalities, we make the following

Definition 3.10. The *multigraded regularity* of Y, denoted $\operatorname{reg}(Y)$, is the set of $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$ for which $H_Y(\alpha) = \deg(Y)$, where Y is any zero-dimensional closed subscheme of X.

Multigraded regularity $\operatorname{reg}(Y)$ is an interesting invariant measuring complexity of the subscheme Y. As an application, we use a bound on $\operatorname{reg}(Y)$ to eliminate trivial toric (complete intersection) codes, see Section 4.

Remark 3.11. In the classical case of the projective space, Proposition 3.2 yields $\operatorname{reg}(Y) = 1 + a_Y + \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1 in [Duursma et al.(2001)], the a-invariant a_Y equals the degree of the rational function corresponding to the Hilbert series of $S/I(Y)$, that is we have

$$a_Y = \deg(p_{S/I(Y)}) - (n+1).$$

If we recall Example 3.3, we see that the Hilbert series of $S/I(Y)$ has degree -2 as a rational function, but $H_Y(\alpha)$ does not stabilize after -2, i.e. for $\alpha \geq -1$. Indeed $\operatorname{reg}(Y) = 2\mathbb{N} \neq -1 + \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, the Hilbert series of $S/I(Y')$ has degree 5 as a rational function and $H_{Y'}(\alpha)$ stabilizes after 5, i.e. $\operatorname{reg}(Y') = 6 + \mathbb{N}$. The following generalizes this to weighted projective spaces under a mild condition which holds true in the most interesting case of subschemes lying inside the torus if at least one weight is trivial, e.g. $w_1 = 1$, see Lemma 3.6.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $X = P(w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1})$ be a weighted projective space and Y be a subscheme such that $S/I(Y)$ has a non-zerodivisor of degree 1. Then, there is an integer a_Y satisfying $\operatorname{reg}(Y) = 1 + a_Y + \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, a_Y equals the degree of the rational function corresponding to the Hilbert series of $S/I(Y)$, that is,*

$$a_Y = \deg(p_{S/I(Y)}) - \beta_1 - \cdots - \beta_{n+1}.$$

Proof. By [Maclagan and Smith (2004), Proposition 4.4], the set $\mathrm{reg}(Y) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is not empty. Let a_Y be the integer such that $1 + a_Y$ is the smallest element in this set. The assumption together with Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.7 **(iii)** implies that $H_Y(\alpha) \leq H_Y(1+\alpha) \leq \deg(Y)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $H_Y(1+a_Y) = \deg(Y)$, the first claim follows by taking $\alpha = 1 + a_Y$ to start with.

The second part can be done by adopting carefully the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [Duursma et al.(2001)] and replacing $|Y|$ with $\deg(Y)$. □

3.2 Multigraded Hilbert functions of complete intersections

In the rest of the section Y is a complete intersection subscheme of a simplicial toric variety X as in Definition 2.2 which is cut out by hypersurfaces of degrees $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. In this case, we have the following nice combinatorial formula for $H_Y(\alpha)$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let Y be a complete intersection subscheme of X such that $I(Y)$ is generated by n homogeneous polynomials with degrees $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we have*

$$H_Y(\alpha) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \sum_{I \subseteq [n], |I|=s} |P_{\alpha - \alpha_I} \cap M|,$$

where $\alpha_I = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i$.

Proof. Since $I(Y)$ is a complete intersection, its minimal free resolution is given by the Koszul complex so that we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to W_n \to \cdots \to W_s \to \cdots \to W_1 \to S_\alpha \to (S/I(Y))_\alpha \to 0,$$

where, for every $s = 1, \ldots, n$, the vector space W_s is given by

$$W_s = \bigoplus_{I \subseteq [n], |I|=s} S(-\alpha_I)_\alpha = \bigoplus_{I \subseteq [n], |I|=s} S_{\alpha - \alpha_I}.$$

Therefore, combining this with (2) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} H_Y(\alpha) &= \dim_{\mathbb{K}} S_\alpha + \sum_{s=1}^{n} (-1)^s \dim_{\mathbb{K}} W_s \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \sum_{I \subseteq [n], |I|=s} |P_{\alpha - \alpha_I} \cap M|. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

□

Remark 3.14. When Y is a complete intersection as above, by Proposition 8.23 in [Miller and Sturmfels(2005)], we have $p_{S/I(Y)} = \sum_{s=0}^{n}(-1)^s \sum_{I\subseteq[n],|I|=s} \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_I}$, where $\alpha_I = \sum_{i\in I} \alpha_i$. Hence, if $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, then $p_{S/I(Y)}$ has degree $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, and thus a_Y coincides with what is called the *critical degree* $a_Y = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n - (n+1)$. This formula can be extended to the case of weighted projective spaces as $a_Y = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \beta_1 - \cdots - \beta_{n+1}$ whenever the assumptions of Proposition 3.12 are satisfied. The question of whether $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \beta_1 - \cdots - \beta_r + \mathbb{N}\beta \subseteq \operatorname{reg}(Y)$, for any simplicial toric variety X has an immediate negative answer provided by the following example as the critical degree $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \cdots - \beta_4 = (4, 0)$ does not give a lower bound for the multigraded regularity.

Example 3.15. Let $X = \mathcal{H}_2$ be the Hirzebruch surface and $J = \langle F_1, F_2\rangle$, where $F_1 = x^3z - x^2z^2 - 2xz^3 + z^4$ and $F_2 = x^4y^2 - w^2$. It is easy to see that J is B-saturated and defines a zero-dimensional complete intersection not lying in $\mathbb{T}^2$, $V_X(J) = \{[1 : 1 : 0 : \pm 1], [1 : 1 : \zeta_1 : \pm 1], [1 : 1 : \zeta_2 : \pm 1], [1 : 1 : \zeta_3 : \pm 1]\}$, where ζ_i are three distinct solutions of $\zeta^3 - 2\zeta^2 - \zeta + 1 = 0$ in $\mathbb{K}$. One can see from the following matrix that $(3, 1) + \mathbb{N}\beta = \operatorname{reg}(Y)$, where the value of the Hilbert function at the origin is in red and $\operatorname{reg}(Y)$ is in blue.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Instead, we have the following lower bound for the multigraded regularity of complete intersections of semi-ample hypersurfaces.

Theorem 3.16. *Let Y be a complete intersection subscheme of X such that $I(Y)$ is generated by n homogeneous polynomials with semi-ample degrees $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. If there is a non-zerodivisor in $S/I(Y)$ of degree β_j, for each $j \in [r]$, then*

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n + \mathbb{N}\beta \subseteq \operatorname{reg}(Y).$$

Proof. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be the generators of $I(Y)$. Their zero loci define divisors $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ on X. Note that $\deg Y$ equals the intersection number $(E_1, \ldots, E_n)$. On the other hand, each E_i is linearly equivalent to a $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisor H_i of degree α_i. By [Fulton(1993), Sec. 5.5] we have

$$(E_1, \ldots, E_n) = (H_1, \ldots, H_n) = n!V(P_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, P_{\alpha_n}).$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that $H_Y(\alpha) = n!V(P_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, P_{\alpha_n})$, whenever the sum $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \preceq \alpha$. We handle this in two steps.

Case (1): $\alpha_{n+1} := \alpha - (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n)$ is semi-ample.

For notational convenience, set $P_i = P_{\alpha_i}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$. As before let $P_I = \sum_{i \in I} P_i$ and $\alpha_I = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ for a subset $I \subseteq [n]$.

By [Postnikov(2009), Proposition 9.8], we have the following formula for the n-dimensional volume of $z_1 P_1 + \cdots + z_{n+1} P_{n+1}$

$$\mathrm{Vol}_n(z_1 P_1 + \cdots + z_{n+1} P_{n+1}) = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in [n+1]^n} V(P_{i_1}, \ldots, P_{i_n}) z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_n},$$

where $V(P_{i_1}, \ldots, P_{i_n})$ is the mixed volume of the polytopes $P_{i_1}, \ldots, P_{i_n}$. Furthermore, let $\mathrm{Ehr}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1})$ be the Ehrhart polynomial that counts the number of lattice points in the Minkowski sum $z_1 P_1 + \cdots + z_{n+1} P_{n+1}$ for non-negative integers $z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}$. Asymptotically, as $z_i \to \infty$, the number of lattice points equals the volume, so we have

$$\mathrm{Ehr}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}) = \mathrm{Vol}_n(z_1 P_1 + \cdots + z_{n+1} P_{n+1}) + \text{lower order terms in } z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}.$$

Now consider the functions $V(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \mathrm{Vol}_n(z_1 P_1 + \cdots + z_n P_n + P_{n+1})$ and $E(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \mathrm{Ehr}(z_1, \ldots, z_n, 1)$. By above the coefficient of $z_1 \cdots z_n$ in V is $n! V(P_1, \ldots, P_n)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\partial^n V / \partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_n = n! V(P_1, \ldots, P_n). \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, since E is a polynomial of degree n its partial derivative coincides with its discrete derivative when the order is n, i.e. $\partial^n E / \partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_n = \Delta^n E / \Delta z_1 \cdots \Delta z_n$ at $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (0, \ldots, 0)$ which is nothing but

$$\frac{\Delta^n E}{\Delta z_1 \cdots \Delta z_n}(0, \ldots, 0) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \sum_{I \subseteq [n], |I| = s} |(P_\alpha - P_I) \cap M|. \tag{6}$$

Note that the polytope $P_\alpha - P_I$ is well defined, as by the hypothesis $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}$ are all semi-ample and thus $P_\alpha = P_1 + \cdots + P_n + P_{n+1}$, by equation (3). In fact, it follows that $P_\alpha - P_I = P_{\alpha - \alpha_I}$.

Since we also have $\partial^n E / \partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_n = \partial^n V / \partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_n$, the combination of (5) and (6) provides

$$n! V(P_1, \ldots, P_n) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \sum_{I \subseteq [n], |I| = s} |P_{\alpha - \alpha_I} \cap M|.$$

Now the statement $H_Y(\alpha) = n! V(P_1, \ldots, P_n)$, whenever $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \preceq \alpha$, follows from Proposition 3.13.

In particular, $H_Y(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n) = n! V(P_1, \ldots, P_n) = \deg Y$.

Case (2): $\alpha_{n+1} := \alpha - (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n)$ is not semi-ample.

We claim that there is a semi-ample degree α'_{n+1} with $\alpha_{n+1} \preceq \alpha'_{n+1}$. Indeed, note that $\mathcal{K}$ corresponds to the set of the lattice points of a full-dimensional cone $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{r-n}$. Since $C_{\mathcal{K}} \cap (\alpha_{n+1} + C_{\mathcal{K}})$ is unbounded, it must contain a lattice point $\alpha'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{K} \cap (\alpha_{n+1} + \mathcal{K})$. (To see that $C_{\mathcal{K}} \cap (\alpha_{n+1} + C_{\mathcal{K}})$ is unbounded, one can take any ray pointing to the interior of $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ and show that it must eventually intersect the shifted cone $\alpha_{n+1} + C_{\mathcal{K}}$.)

Now $\alpha' := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n + \alpha'_{n+1}$ is semi-ample and satisfies $\alpha \preceq \alpha'$. Thus, by Case (1) and Theorem 3.7 (ii), we have

$$\deg Y = H_Y(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n) \leq H_Y(\alpha) \leq H_Y(\alpha') = \deg Y,$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.17. When X is smooth and Y is a complete intersection of semi-ample hypersurfaces of degree $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$, the lower bound for $\mathrm{reg}(Y)$ provided by the proof of Proposition 2.10 in [Maclagan and Smith (2005)] is $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n + \mathcal{K}$. Thus, our bound improves this even for smooth toric varieties.

Let us finish the section by summarizing the previous results in the special case of $Y \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$.

Corollary 3.18. *If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ is reduced, then the following hold.*
***(i)** If $\alpha \not\succeq \alpha_i$, for degrees α_i of minimal generators of $I(Y)$, then*

$$H_Y(\alpha) = |P_\alpha \cap M|.$$

***(ii)** $H_Y(\alpha) \leq H_Y(\alpha')$, for all $\alpha \preceq \alpha'$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$.*
***(iii)** If Y is a complete intersection subscheme of X such that $I(Y)$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials with semi-ample degrees $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$, then*

$$H_Y(\alpha) = |Y|, \text{ for all } \alpha \succeq \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n.$$

Proof. The first claim is just Theorem 3.7, part (i). By Lemma 3.6, there exists a non-zerodivisor in $S/I(Y)$ of degree α, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$. So, the second claim follows from Theorem 3.7 (ii) and the third follows from Theorem 3.16. □

4 Evaluation codes on complete intersections

In this section we apply our results in Section 3 to dimension calculation for evaluation codes on complete intersections in a toric variety.

Recall the basic definitions from coding theory. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of q elements and $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ its multiplicative group. A subspace $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$ is called a *linear code*, and its elements $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_N)$ are called *codewords*. The number N is called the *block-length* of $\mathcal{C}$. The *weight* of c in $\mathcal{C}$ is the number of non-zero entries in c. The *distance* between two codewords a and b in $\mathcal{C}$ is the weight of $a - b \in \mathcal{C}$. The minimum distance between distinct codewords in $\mathcal{C}$ is the same as the minimum weight of non-zero codewords in $\mathcal{C}$. The block-length N, the dimension $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C})$, and the minimum distance $d = d(\mathcal{C})$ are the basic parameters of $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. We let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the Frobenius automorphism, so $\Phi(x) = x^q$ for all $x \in \mathbb{K}$ and the restriction of Φ to $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ generates the cyclic group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, let X be a simplicial complete toric variety over $\mathbb{K}$ of dimension n with torsion-free class group and S its homogeneous coordinate ring as in the previous sections. Recall that S_α is spanned by characters of the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ as in (1). The group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ acting on the coefficients in the direct sum defines an action on S_α. Thus the subset S_α^Φ of S_α of elements invariant under the Frobenius automorphism consists of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear combinations of the characters:

$$S_\alpha^\Phi \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in P_\alpha \cap M} \mathbb{F}_q \chi^{\mathbf{m}}. \tag{7}$$

Note that the dimension of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space S_α^Φ and the dimension of the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space S_α are the same.

Let $Y = \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}$ be a zero dimensional reduced subscheme of X, contained in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$. Fix a degree $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$ and a monomial $F_0 = \mathbf{x}^{\phi(\mathbf{m}_0)+\mathbf{a}} \in S_\alpha$, where $\mathbf{m}_0 \in M$, $\mathbf{a}$ is any element of $\mathbb{Z}^r$ with $\deg(\mathbf{a}) = \alpha$, and ϕ as in the exact sequence $\mathfrak{P}$. This defines the *evaluation map*

$$\mathrm{ev}_Y : S_\alpha^\Phi \to \mathbb{F}_q^N, \quad F \mapsto \left(\frac{F(p_1)}{F_0(p_1)}, \ldots, \frac{F(p_N)}{F_0(p_N)} \right). \tag{8}$$

The image $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y} = \mathrm{ev}_Y(S_\alpha^\Phi)$ is a linear code, called *evaluation code* on Y. It can be readily seen that different choices of $F_0 \in S_\alpha$ yield to equivalent codes. Clearly, the block-length of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ equals $N = |Y|$.

In the special case of $Y = (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ this construction produces *toric codes* which was introduced for the first time by Hansen in [Hansen(2000),Hansen(2001)]. A way to compute the dimension of a toric code is given in [Ruano(2007)]. For general information about algebraic geometry codes we refer to [Tsfasman et al.(2007)] and [Little(2008)].

Remark 4.1. We remark that the toric complete intersection code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}(P),Y}$ studied in [Soprunov(2013)] is also a particular case of the above evaluation code. Indeed,

in [Soprunov(2013)] the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}(P),Y}$ is defined as the image of

$$\mathrm{ev}_Y : \mathcal{L}(P) \to \mathbb{F}_q^N, \quad f \mapsto (f(p_1), \ldots, f(p_N)),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(P)$ is the subspace of the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ spanned by the monomials corresponding to the lattice points of P, and $Y = \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\} \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ is the set of common zeroes of a Laurent polynomial system $f_1 = \cdots = f_n = 0$ which meets the Bernstein–Kushnirenko bound. There is a standard procedure of homogenizing a Laurent polynomial f with respect to its Newton polytope P, which produces a homogeneous polynomial $F \in S$ of semi-ample degree α with $P_\alpha = P$ (see [Cattani and Dickenstein(1997), p. 124]). In this case, $f = F/F_0$ for a certain monomial $F_0 \in S_\alpha$. It is not hard to show that under the conditions imposed on Y in [Soprunov(2013)], the homogenizations $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ of the f_i generate an ideal $I \subset S$ defining Y, i.e. $V_X(I) = Y$. On the other hand, for any semi-ample degree α the space $\mathcal{L}(P_\alpha)$ is identified with S_α^Φ, by (7). Therefore, the toric complete intersection code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}(P),Y}$ coincides with the evaluation code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ as defined above.

The advantage of the above observation is that when $Y \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ it helps us to see why there is no harm in assuming without loss of generality that X is smooth. This is because when X is singular, one can resolve its singularities by refining the fan which changes the homogeneous coordinate ring S and thereby S_α but does not effect the code because $\mathcal{L}(P_\alpha)$ does not change.

The next proposition provides a way to calculate the dimension of the code as the value of the Hilbert function $H_Y(\alpha)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $Y \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ be a reduced closed subscheme of X. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ equals $H_Y(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{ev}_Y : S_\alpha \to \mathbb{K}^N$ be the $\mathbb{K}$-linear evaluation map extending the one in (8). Since $I(Y)$ is radical, we have $\ker(\mathrm{ev}_Y) = I(Y)_\alpha$, which yields

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathrm{ev}_Y(S_\alpha)) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(S_\alpha) - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \ker(\mathrm{ev}_Y) = H_Y(\alpha).$$

On the other hand, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathrm{ev}_Y(S_\alpha)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathrm{ev}_Y(S_\alpha^\Phi))$ as both maps are represented by the same matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$ in the monomial basis corresponding to the lattice points of P_α. By definition $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathrm{ev}_Y(S_\alpha^\Phi))$ is the dimension of the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$, which completes the proof of the proposition. □

The behavior of the multigraded Hilbert function gives information about equivalence of the corresponding codes as we discuss now.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $Y \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ be a reduced closed subscheme of X as before. If $H_Y(\alpha) = H_Y(\alpha + \alpha_0)$ then the codes $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+\alpha_0,Y}$ are equivalent. Therefore, there are only finitely many non-equivalent codes $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$.*

Proof. As $Y \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$, the monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}$ of degree α_0 is a non-zerodivisor by the proof of Lemma 3.6. Hence, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (S/I(Y))_\alpha \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}}} (S/I(Y))_{\alpha+\alpha_0} \longrightarrow (S/(I+\langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}\rangle))_{\alpha+\alpha_0} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

When $H_Y(\alpha) = H_Y(\alpha+\alpha_0)$, the injection above becomes an isomorphism and we have

$$(S/I(Y))_{\alpha+\alpha_0} = \overline{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}} \cdot (S/I(Y))_\alpha.$$

On the other hand, since the kernel of the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_Y : S_\alpha \to \mathbb{K}^N$ equals $I(Y)_\alpha$ we may identify $(S/I(Y))_\alpha$ with the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$. Let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_k}$ be monomials in S_α whose classes form a basis for $(S/I(Y))_\alpha$ and, hence, whose images under the evaluation map form a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$. By above, the monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_k}$ will yield a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+\alpha_0,Y}$. In these bases the generating matrix of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+\alpha_0,Y}$ equals the product of a diagonal matrix (consisting of the values of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_0}$ at the points of Y) and the generating matrix of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$. Therefore, the two codes are monomially equivalent.

As for the last assertion, we first recall that H_Y is non-decreasing in the direction of β_j for each $j \in [r]$. Second, it is bounded above by the number of points in Y, so it must eventually become constant, which completes the proof. □

The following shows how this observation can be turned into a powerful method to produce good codes.

Example 4.4. Let $X = \mathcal{H}_2$ be the Hirzebruch surface as in example 2.3 over the field $\mathbb{F}_5$. Consider the homogeneous ideal $J = \langle F_1, F_2\rangle$, where $F_1 = x^2 - z^2$ and $F_2 = z^8y^4 - w^4$. One can check that J is B-saturated and radical, so $Y = V_X(J)$ is the following reduced union of 8 points lying inside the torus $(\mathbb{F}_5^*)^2$:

$$[1:1:1:1], [1:1:1:2], [1:1:1:3], [1:1:1:4],$$
$$[1:1:4:1], [1:1:4:2], [1:1:4:3], [1:1:4:4].$$

In this case, the toric complete intersection codes have the following table for their dimensions, where the value of the Hilbert function at the origin is in red, recorded to be 1 at the bottom line, and the trivial codes correspond to the degrees in $(1,3) + \mathbb{N}\beta$ which is in blue,

$$\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2
\end{bmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 4.3, there are exactly 8 non-equivalent codes. Observe now that the monomials $(yz^2)^b, (xyz)^b \in S_{0,b}$ and $x(yz^2)^b, x(xyz)^b \in S_{1,b}$. By evaluating these monomials at Y, we get the codewords $(1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1)$ and $(1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 4\ 4\ 4\ 4)$ which shows that the minimum distance is at most 4.

According to Markus Grassl's Code Tables [Grassl] a best-known code with $N = 8$ has $k + d = 8$ or $k + d = 9$ (MDS codes). So, there is no need to consider codes of degrees $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$. As the codes of $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 3)$ are trivially MDS, we look at the codes of degrees $(1, 1)$, $(0, 2)$, $(1, 2)$ and $(0, 3)$.

Take $\alpha = (1, 1)$ first and consider the toric complete intersection code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$. A basis of $(S/I(Y))_\alpha$ is given by the classes of monomials $\{xyz^2, yz^3, xw, zw\}$. So, the generating matrix of the code which is obtained by evaluating these monomials at the 8 points of Y is as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is now easy to check that the minimum distance is 3 and thus the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ has parameters $[8, 4, 3]_5$, by using Magma, see [Bosma et al.(1997)]. In contrast, the best known code according to [Grassl] has parameters $[8, 5, 3]_5$.

For degree $\alpha = (0, 2)$, a basis of $(S/I(Y))_\alpha$ is given by the classes of monomials $\{y^2z^4, xy^2z^3, yz^2w, xyzw, w^2\}$. In this case, the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ has parameters $[8, 5, 3]_5$ which is a best possible code according to [Grassl].

For degree $\alpha = (1, 2)$, a basis of $(S/I(Y))_\alpha$ is given by the classes of monomials $\{y^2z^5, xy^2z^4, yz^3w, xyz^2w, zw^2, xw^2\}$. In this case, the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ has parameters $[8, 6, 2]_5$ which is a best possible code according to [Grassl].

Finally, if $\alpha = (0, 3)$, a basis of $(S/I(Y))_\alpha$ is given by the classes of monomials $\{y^3z^6, xy^3z^5, y^2z^4w, xy^2z^3w, yz^2w^2, xyzw^2, w^3\}$. In this case, the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ has parameters $[8, 7, 2]_5$ which is an MDS code.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2, Proposition 3.13, and Corollary 3.18 we obtain a nice formula for the dimension of the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $Y \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ be a reduced complete intersection subscheme of X such that $I(Y)$ is generated by n homogeneous polynomials with semi-ample degrees $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\beta$ we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^s \sum_{I\subseteq[n],|I|=s} |P_{\alpha-\alpha_I} \cap M|,$$

where $\alpha_I = \sum_{i\in I} \alpha_i$. *In particular, if* $\alpha \not\succeq \alpha_i$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq n$ *(i.e.* P_α *does not contain any of* P_{α_i}*) then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}) = |P_\alpha \cap M|.$$

Furthermore, if $\alpha \succeq \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ *then the dimension of* $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ *equals its length* $|Y|$ *and, hence, the code is trivial.*

Remark 4.6. [Soprunov(2013)] gives a bound on the minimum distance of evaluation codes $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ for local complete intersections Y and for degrees less than or equal to the *critical degree*, i.e. for

$$\alpha \preceq \rho := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \beta_j.$$

So, for degrees between ρ and $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, the code $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}$ may be non-trivial (see examples in the third section) and currently no non-trivial bound for the minimum distance is known.

We finish with an example illustrating the formula in Theorem 4.5.

Example 4.7. Let $\mathbb{F}_5$ be a field of 5 elements. Consider a 3-dimensional toric variety X defined by the normal fan to the polytope with vertices

$$\{(0,0,0),(0,1,0)(1,-1,1),(1,2,1),(-1,2,1),(-1,-1,1)\}.$$

The corresponding exact sequence $\mathfrak{P}$ is:

$$\mathfrak{P}: 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}^5 \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \deg = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We let $S = \mathbb{K}[x,y,z,w,t]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of X. Now consider the homogeneous ideal $J = \langle F_1, F_2, F_3\rangle$, where $F_1 = x^4 - y^4$, $F_2 = z^4 - w^4$, and $F_3 = (xyzw)^4 - t^4$. The corresponding degrees are $\alpha_1 = (-4,4)$, $\alpha_2 = (4,0)$, and $\alpha_3 = (0,8)$. The ideal J is radical and B-saturated and defines a reduced subscheme Y with $|Y| = 64$. The polytopes P_{α_1} and P_{α_2} are lattice segments of length 4 with vertices $\{(-2,0,0),(2,0,0)\}$ and $\{(0,-2,0),(0,2,0)\}$, respectively. The polytope P_{α_3} is a square pyramid with vertices

$$\{(0,0,0),(4,-4,4),(4,4,4),(-4,4,4),(-4,-4,4)\}.$$

One can check that the mixed volume $3!V(P_{\alpha_1}, P_{\alpha_2}, P_{\alpha_3}) = 64$.

Now consider $\alpha = (-2, 7)$. Its polytope P_α has vertices

$$\left\{(0,0,0), (-7,0,0), (-7,-5,0), (0,-5,0), \left(-\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}\right), \left(-\frac{9}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}\right)\right\},$$

and contains 80 lattice points. Furthermore, $P_{\alpha-\alpha_I}$ is empty for all $I \subset \{1,2,3\}$, but $I = \{1\}$, $I = \{2\}$, and $I = \{1,2\}$.

With the help of *polymake* [Gawrilow and Joswig(2000)], we obtain $|P_{\alpha-\alpha_1}| = 32$, $|P_{\alpha-\alpha_2}| = 16$, and $|P_{\alpha-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}| = 8$. Therefore, the formula in Theorem 4.5 gives

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,Y}) = 80 - 32 - 16 + 8 = 40.$$

This is confirmed by computing the Hilbert function of Y using *Macaulay 2*, see [Grayson and Stillman].

References

[Bosma et al.(1997)] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, 1997. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput., 24, 235–265.

[Cattani and Dickenstein(1997)] Cattani, E., Dickenstein, A., 1997. A global view of residues in the torus, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 117/118, 119–144.

[Cox(1995)] D. A. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, J. Algebr. Geom. **4** (1995), 17–50.

[Cox et al.(2011)] Cox, D. A., Little, J., Schenck, H., 2011, Toric Varieties, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 124, AMS, Providence, RI

[Duursma et al.(2001)] Duursma, I., . Rentería, C, Tapia-Recillas, H., 2001. Reed-Muller codes on complete intersections, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 11, 455–462.

[Eisenbud(1995)] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a View Towards Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag, New York, 1995.

[Fulton(1993)] Fulton, W., 1993. Introduction to Toric Varieties, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton.

[Gawrilow and Joswig(2000)] Ewgenij Gawrilow and Michael Joswig, *polymake: a framework for analyzing convex polytopes.* Polytopes—combinatorics and computation (Oberwolfach, 1997), 43Ð73, DMV Sem., 29, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000

[Geramita and Maroscia(1984)] A.V. Geramita, P. Maroscia, *The ideal of forms vanishing at a finite set of points*, J. Algebra, 90 (1984), 528–555.

[Grassl] Markus Grassl, *Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes*, online, accessed on October 31, 2014, `www.codetables.de/`.

[Grayson and Stillman] D. Grayson, M. Stillman, Macaulay 2–A System for Computation in Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra. `math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2`.

[Hansen(2001)] Hansen, J., 2001. *Error-Correcting Codes from Higher-Dimensional Varieties*, Finite Fields Appl., 7 no. 4, 530–552.

[Hansen(2000)] Hansen, J., 2000. *Toric Surfaces and Error–correcting Codes* in: Buchmann, J. et al. (Eds.), Coding Theory, Cryptography, and Related Areas, Springer, pp. 132–142.

[Little(2008)] Little, J., 2008. Algebraic geometry codes from higher dimensional varieties, in Martinez-Moro, E. et al. (Eds.), Advances in algebraic geometry codes, Series on Coding Theory Cryptology, 5, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ., pp. 257–293.

[Maclagan and Smith (2004)] Maclagan, D. and Smith, G. Multigraded Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. J. Reine Angew. Math. 571 (2004), 179–212.

[Maclagan and Smith (2005)] Maclagan, D. and Smith, G. Uniform bounds on multigraded regularity. J. Algebraic Geom. 14 (2005), no. 1, 137–164.

[Miller and Sturmfels(2005)] Miller, E. and Sturmfels, B., Combinatorial commutative algebra. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 227. Springer-Verlag, New York, (2005).

[Postnikov(2009)] A. Postnikov, *Permutohedra, associahedra and beyond*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (6) (2009), 1026–1106.

[Ruano(2007)] Ruano, Diego, 2007. On the parameters of r-dimensional toric codes, Finite Fields and Their Applications 13, 962–976.

[Sidman and Van Tuyl (2006)] J. Sidman, A. Van Tuyl, Multigraded regularity: syzygies and fat points, Beiträge Algebra Geom., 47 (2006), no. 1, 67–87.

[Soprunov(2013)] I. Soprunov, *Toric complete intersection codes*, J. Symbolic Comput. 50 (2013), 374–385.

[Tsfasman et al.(2007)] Tsfasman M., Vlăduţ, S., Nogin, D.,2007. Algebraic geometric codes: basic notions, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 139, AMS, Providence.

[Van Tuyl(2002)] Van Tuyl, Adam The border of the Hilbert function of a set of points in $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 176 (2002), no. 2–3, 223–247.